

Minutes of the Justice Committee

The Justice Committee of the McLean County Board met on Tuesday, June 7, 2011 at 4:30 p.m. in Room 400 of the Government Center, 115 E. Washington Street, Bloomington, Illinois.

Members Present: Chairman Rackauskas; Members McIntyre, Wollrab, Schafer, Rankin and McKibbin

Members Absent: None

Other County Board
Members Present: None

Staff Present: Mr. Bill Wasson, County Administrator; and Ms. Judith LaCasse, Assistant to the County Administrator

Department Heads/
Elected Officials
Present: Mr. Curt Hawk, Director, EMA; Mr. Will Scanlon, Circuit Court Administrator; Mr. Kevin Fitzgerald; Circuit Judge, 11th Circuit Court; Mr. Bill Yoder, State's Attorney; Ms. Lori McCormick, Director, Court Services; Mr. Scott Drazewski, Circuit Judge, 11th Circuit Court; Sheriff Mike Emery; Ms. Beth Kimmerling, Coroner; Mr. Don Everhart, Circuit Clerk

Others Present: Ms. Margie Jordon, Children's Advocacy Center, Ms. Cathy Waltz, Superintendent, Juvenile Detention Center

Chairman Rackauskas called the meeting to order at 4:30 p.m.

Chairman Rackauskas presented the Minutes from the Tuesday, May 3, 2011 Meeting and the April 19, 2011 Stand-up meeting.

Motion by McIntyre/Rankin to Approve the Minutes of the May 3, 2011 Meeting and the April 19, 2011 Stand-up Meeting.
Motion carried.

Ms. Margie Jordan, Children's Advocacy Center, presented the CAC and CASA Monthly Reports.

Chairman Rackauskas asked if there were any questions on the reports. Hearing none, she thanked Ms. Jordan.

Mr. Curt Hawk, Director, EMA, presented the 2010 EMA Operations Annual Report. He pointed out that page 6 provides a list of EMA's core functions, namely Managed Incident Communications, Incident Management, Search and Rescue, Severe Storm Operations, Winter Weather Operations, Supplemental Lighting and Power, Mass Casualty Incident Support and Damage Assessment.

Mr. Hawk indicated that page 7 shows the Team Roster, which includes 29 volunteers. He noted that nine more volunteers were added in March.

Mr. Hawk stated that page 13 provides the Volunteer Service Report in man hours. He reported that there were 779.50 hours in Service which is the maintenance of the equipment, tours, presentations, etc.; 762.25 Training Hours doing educational exercises; and 995.50 Activation Hours. Mr. Hawk indicated that these hours would equal \$30,000 with a FEMA rate.

Mr. Hawk advised that page 22 and 23 show some of the community services that are performed each year. Page 27, 28 and 29 show the Fleet vehicles. The most notable is the Unified Command Post vehicle, a 40 foot walk-in truck, which was paid for with Homeland Security dollars. Mr. Hawk added that other vehicles include a Ford F-550 that was paid for by Homeland Security. He indicated that a new addition is a 16 foot box trailer which is an eight-person work station similar to the Command Post, paid for by grant money of \$136,000. Mr. Hawk stated that EMA has two 1984 military-grade Blazers that originally went to the Sheriff's Department and Parks Department and were then handed down to EMA.

Mr. Hawk reported that EMA also has a total of seven trailers with generators, mass casualty search and rescue equipment and only three trucks. He noted that only one truck is dependable as a tow vehicle. Mr. Hawk advised that it is necessary to begin looking at budgeting for some replacement vehicles soon.

Mr. Hawk stated that recent field operations done by EMA include the Dwight tornado response, the H1N1 clinics, COMLARA County Park Triathlon, working with Special Olympics, the Boy Scout Camporee at COMLARA County Park, Winter storms in December and February, the New Year's Eve tornado in Petersburg, Illinois, and searching for the missing person in Livingston County.

Chairman Rackauskas asked if the Narrowbanding UHF repeater that needs to be replaced in 2012 has been budgeted. Mr. Hawk responded that EMA is now considering going to the STARCOM radio system rather than the wideband UHF. He stated that either way, it will be necessary to purchase radios. Mr. Hawk noted that he has received a grant for the purchase of three STARCOM radios.

Mr. Hawk indicated that they are in the process of determining how many radios need to be purchased, which depends on how many fire departments in the County still have their STARCOM radios. Mr. Wasson explained that as part of the initial issue of radios for the STARCOM network, each rural fire department was issued one radio to be able to coordinate with STARCOM users. One of the issues is that the fire departments were concerned with their expense associated with maintenance costs and some may have returned their radios. Mr. Wasson stated that, under the current County contract, the maintenance cost with the radios is not substantial, but there is a maintenance cost. He indicated that the County is working with the rural fire departments to make sure that the rural fire departments still have working STARCOM radios. Mr. Hawk added that he is determining how many radios would have to be purchased depending upon how many fire departments have turned their radios back in.

Chairman Rackauskas expressed some concern with the funding of the radios. Mr. Hawk responded that EMA just received the Presidential Declaration for the snow storm on February 2nd, which should bring in about \$600,000 into the County to be distributed to 44 different agencies, including Bloomington-Normal, Lexington, Townships, Highway Department, etc. He indicated that most of those agencies will receive 75% of their expenses during that two-day period. Mr. Hawk advised that EMA turned in an additional claim which will allow EMA to receive almost 100% of their claim rather than just 75%. This is partly due to the 1,104 hours of volunteer time that goes against EMA labor force, which should assist in recouping the 25%. Mr. Hawk expects to get back about \$11,700.

Mr. Hawk advised that he is requesting additional grant monies for the Nuclear Safety, Hazardous Materials, and Interoperability Communication Grants. These are Federal funds passed through the state from FEMA.

Ms. Wollrab thanked Mr. Hawk for the work EMA does. She asked if the Annual Report is a required report. Mr. Hawk replied that he has a team of volunteers who put together the report. He noted that only eight hard copies of the report were made for the Committee; the report can also be found on the County website.

Mr. Wasson acknowledged that Mr. Hawk was essential to the County obtaining the designation for the snow emergency funds that the County received. He indicated that the request was turned down twice, but Mr. Hawk continued to work with IEMA to receive the snow emergency funding for almost every local government in McLean County.

Chairman Rackauskas asked if there were any questions. Hearing none, she thanked Mr. Hawk.

Mr. Will Scanlon, Circuit Court Administrator, introduced Mr. Kevin Fitzgerald; Circuit Judge, 11th Circuit Court. He indicated that Judge Fitzgerald has been a Judge in McLean County since 1999 and currently serves as the presiding Judge of the Family Division, is Chair for the Department Budget and also oversees the Law Library.

Mr. Scanlon presented a request for approval to combine two part-time positions into one full-time position. He explained that currently the Circuit Court employs two part-time persons in two positions. The first is a grant-funded Data Collection person within the AOIC Juvenile Abuse Data Collection Project at 20 hours per week, which goes through September 30, 2012. He noted that this grant is likely to be extended into the future. Mr. Scanlon stated that the current Law Librarian/Legal Assistant in the Law Library has submitted her resignation, leaving that 20 hour per week position open. He indicated that the Legal Assistant position is funded by the Law Library Fee Fund, a \$10.00 per civil filing dedicated fund.

Mr. Scanlon advised that the Circuit Court proposed to combine both positions and have one current employee take the position at 37.5 hours per week. He offered the following rationale:

- The combination of positions would address the major complaint of users of the Law Library that the hours of assistance are too limited. The Legal Assistant currently works from 9:00 a.m. – 2:00 p.m. This combination would allow the Law Library hours to be expanded, and would provide flexibility for both the Legal Assistant and the clientele using the library.
- By utilizing one person in the combined position, there is better utilization of time. The work within the Law Library can be very sporadic. This additional work of the AOIC data collection would allow the employee to stay busy.
- By reducing the time to 37.5 hours from the current 40 hours (2 positions each at 20 hours per week), the cost of the health insurance benefit for a full-time employee can be offset significantly. The remainder of the cost of health insurance can be borne equally by the two funds.
- The blending of positions will help retain a quality employee who is currently looking for a full-time position. This employee is highly regarded by the Circuit Court personnel and the AOIC personnel.

Mr. Scanlon stated that the funding provided by the AOIC grant would cover the ½ portion of the IMRF contribution that is not currently funded, and the Law Library fee fund obligation would remain unchanged.

Mr. Rankin asked what would happen to the full-time position if the grant ends. Mr. Scanlon replied that if the grant ends, the position would revert back to a part-time position. He added that the employee is aware of this possibility.

Mr. McIntyre asked how the cost of the health insurance and employee benefits will be paid. Mr. Scanlon responded that the total number of hours will be reduced from 40 hours per week to 37.5 hours per week. Also, the Law Library is a dedicated fee fund that is not General Revenue funds, and the grant money is not General Revenue money. Mr. Scanlon noted that both of these funds can accommodate the difference between the off-set in salary and the increase in benefits. Mr. Wasson added that the salary will be modified and will be less than the current salary for the grant position.

Motion by Rankin/Schafer to Recommend Approval to
combine two Part-time Positions into one Full-time
Position.
Motion carried.

Mr. Wasson advised that, under the Board Rules, this request will next go to the Finance Committee for approval.

Chairman Rackauskas asked if there were any questions. Hearing none, she thanked Mr. Scanlon and Judge Fitzgerald.

Chairman Rackauskas indicated that Ms. Kim Campbell, Public Defender, was unable to attend the meeting. She asked if there were any questions on the report. There were no questions.

Sheriff Mike Emery reviewed the May 2011 Detention Facility Report. He noted that so far, year-to-date, not one inmate has been housed out of County. Sheriff Emery reported that daily average population is fine. He acknowledged that it takes a lot of dedication from the Justice system to make this work.

Chairman Rackauskas asked if there were any questions. Hearing none, she thanked Sheriff Emery.

Ms. Beth C. Kimmerling, County Coroner, presented the April Monthly Report. She pointed out that the most significant number on the Report is the Out of County Autopsies done in April. Ms. Kimmerling noted that the last time the numbers were this high was in 2004.

Ms. Kimmerling reported that the world of the forensic pathologist is in a constant state of flux. She expressed concern that there is talk about Champaign County furnishing a morgue in their new Champaign County Building. Ms. Kimmerling cautioned that if that is the case, she expects that by fall Champaign may no longer be a client of McLean County. She added that, geographically, there is the potential that Vermillion County will use the new Morgue in Champaign rather than coming to McLean County.

Chairman Rackauskas asked who Champaign will use as a pathologist. Ms. Kimmerling responded that Champaign may be in negotiations with employing Dr. Denton, the County's pathologist.

Ms. Kimmerling expressed concern with the County's revenue being reduced significantly if Champaign County and Vermillion County no longer come to McLean County for their morgue needs as they are a significant portion of the dollars that come to the County.

Ms. Kimmerling advised that Sangamon County has a newly appointed Coroner as of June 1st. She stated that a Board Certified Forensic Pathologist resides in Sangamon County. Ms. Kimmerling pointed out that the new Coroner in Sangamon County is still utilizing McLean County, but this may change.

Ms. Kimmerling advised that she doesn't consider her job as Coroner to be in the business to make revenue. It is simply an added benefit. She stated that for 12 years she has been very accustomed to bringing in \$65,000 in a bad year or closer to \$85,000 in a good year. Ms. Kimmerling indicated that revenue could potentially be reduced to as little as \$30,000 per year.

Chairman Rackauskas asked if Ms. Kimmerling is concerned that Dr. Denton would leave McLean County. She responded that Dr. Denton has assured her that McLean County is his home base and his priority. Ms. Kimmerling added that she trusts Dr. Denton.

Chairman Rackauskas asked if it is unusual for a County to build a Morgue without having a designated pathologist. Ms. Kimmerling replied that she is shocked that Champaign County did not talk to her about having a Morgue prior to this time. She believes that the development of the Morgue must have been part of their plan from the beginning.

Ms. Kimmerling indicated that Dr. Denton has been working very hard to find a doctor who will work with him. She noted that there may be a doctor from Cook County that is interested. Ms. Kimmerling advised that things continue to change.

Ms. Wollrab asked if, in addition to a decrease in revenue, would there be a decrease in expenses if these other counties discontinue coming to McLean County. Ms. Kimmerling responded that some of the County's expenses would drop, but it would mainly affect revenue.

Ms. Kimmerling advised that she will keep the Committee informed as changes are made in the neighboring counties.

Chairman Rackauskas asked if there were any additional questions. Hearing none, she thanked Ms. Kimmerling.

Mr. Bill Yoder, State's Attorney, reviewed his Monthly Caseload Report and Asset Forfeiture Fund Report.

Mr. McIntyre asked why the projected Felonies and Misdemeanors are down. Mr. Yoder replied that many of the Felony cases are drug related. He noted that, earlier this year, there was an emphasis on one particular drug task force case, which may be why local drug felonies are down. Mr. Yoder stated that he does not know why misdemeanor cases are down. He advised that he does not put too much weight on the projections until we get later into the year when the figures tend to even out.

Mr. McIntyre pointed out that the Child Support cases are up. He asked if there is anything the Committee should be aware of regarding Child Support cases. Mr. Yoder replied that he will do some research and provide a report next month. Mr. McIntyre responded that he would like a report only if there is any significant information regarding the trend.

Ms. Schafer asked if the increase in traffic tickets is a reflection of additional enforcement. Mr. Yoder responded that he is surprised that the projected numbers are up because Bloomington's traffic division has been eliminated.

Mr. Rankin advised that projections in May should not cause an alarm as it may balance itself out by the end of the year. He stated that projected statistics can reflect anomalies and it is more important to focus on the actual figures. Mr. Rankin indicated that he finds projected numbers to be fairly worthless as they have no inherent value. He noted that projections are not real numbers; rather, they are guesses at best and not real hard facts. Mr. Yoder responded that the projected numbers gain more relevance as you get further into the year.

Mr. Yoder indicated that he will prepare a report on the projected statistics for next month.

Chairman Rackauskas asked if there were any additional questions. Hearing none, she thanked Mr. Yoder.

Ms. Lori McCormick, Director, Court Services, introduced Circuit Judge Scott Drazewski who is the presiding Judge for Drug Court.

Ms. McCormick presented a report on the McLean County application for a Substance Abuse and Mental Health Services Administration (SAMHSA) Grant to develop and expand behavioral Health Treatment Court Collaboratives. She explained that the Court Services Department was asked by Chief Judge Elizabeth Robb to collaborate with the McLean County Circuit Court, numerous County departments and social service agencies in applying for a new Department of Health and Human Services, Substance Abuse and Mental Health Services Administration (SAMHSA) grant. This Grant is a three-year no match program totaling \$400,000 per year. Ms. McCormick stated that the proposed project will build upon the success of the McLean County Adult Drug Court and Recovery Court as well as enhance program evaluation and administration.

Ms. McCormick advised that Judge Robb was notified in early May that the National Center for State Courts (NCSC) was seeking to partner with a local court for the performance measurement and evaluation of problem-solving courts. She noted that there will be up to eleven awards given before October 1, 2011 for problem-solving courts.

Ms. McCormick stated that, due to the short turnaround time for this grant application, Judge Robb sought the authorization of County Administration to apply for the grant before the deadline for application of June 6, 2011. Ms. McCormick indicated that upon receiving initial authorization from County Administration to apply for the grant, Judge Robb quickly brought together a consortium of individuals involved with both courts.

Ms. McCormick advised that with the assistance of Judge Robb, Judge Drazewski and Judge Foley, who is the presiding Judge over Recovery Court, as well as the assistance of the National Center for State Court, she applied for this grant. She added that the assistance of the National Center for State Court was phenomenal.

Ms. McCormick stated that after meeting with individuals and discussion with the NCSC, it was felt that this grant program would not only be an opportunity to promote service integration by incorporating new behavioral health treatments and recovery support, but also undergo a comprehensive evaluation of Recovery Court and Drug Court. She stated that such a thorough evaluation will result in broadening evidence-based practice and knowledge as well as leading to continuous quality improvement of the McLean County Criminal Justice System.

Ms. McCormick acknowledged that she will have to come before the Justice Committee to request approval to accept the grant, should they receive the grant. She indicated that she was unsure that the County would be offered this grant since only 11 counties in the nation will be offered the grant. However, Ms. McCormick stated that as she started writing the grant, the more excited she became because many good things can happen for McLean County with this grant. She pointed out that when the grant request was sent in, staff from the National Center shared it with counterparts, and they all believe that McLean County has a very good opportunity of receiving the award.

Ms. McCormick advised that this grant is a no match grant. She stated that, with this grant, staff can be added to both Recovery Court and Drug Court. One of the potential positions might be a contract clinician that would be able to provide on-site assessments for the individuals as well as detox services which are not currently available to the clients unless they have insurance.

Ms. McCormick indicated that the grant award requested by the County was actually \$394,288 per year. She explained that the funds come from two different agencies, namely Substance Abuse and Mental Health. Therefore, it was necessary to have the exact same amount budgeted from each agency, which resulted in the \$394,288 figure.

Ms. Schafer asked how the grant will be used. Ms. McCormick replied that the grant would be used for Recovery Court and Drug Court. She noted that the grant would pay for a Service Coordinator, a Clinician and a Grant Coordinator.

Mr. Wasson indicated that two items have been discussed over the last two or three years on a recurring basis regarding Recovery Court and Drug Court. The first is an evaluation of the programs to determine if they work. Mr. Wasson stated that this grant would go a long way towards addressing that question. The second issue is the County's ability to administer these grants. Mr. Wasson advised that this grant would give the County the capability to administer all of the County's special Court grants.

Ms. McCormick stated that the grant starts October 1, 2011. She noted that there will be little lead time, but she expects there will be a six-month start up time period. Ms. McCormick indicated that the National Center for State Courts who helped her write the grant is also the evaluator. She added that the National Center really wants to evaluate the County's programs.

Mr. McKibbin asked Judge Drazewski how these programs enhance justice being served. Judge Drazewski responded that most judges will tell you that working in a problem-solving court or a specialty court such as Drug Court or Mental Health Court is different than their usual role as a judge. He stated that it is the most rewarding aspect because you are not dealing with things in the traditional manner. Judge Drazewski advised that there are a lot of things that need to be improved upon with respect to the Criminal Justice System at the County, State and National levels. These problem-solving courts offer the following for individuals:

- Helps keep people out of the court system,
- Reintegration of families,
- Working,
- Paying taxes and Child Support, etc.

Ms. McCormick invited the Committee to attend Drug Court and Recovery Court which meets as follows:

- Recovery Court: Thursday afternoons at 1:00 p.m.
- Drug Court: Thursday afternoons at 4:30 p.m.

Judge Drazewski advised that the next Drug Court graduation will be either late August or early September and should be the largest graduating class to date.

Chairman Rackauskas urged the Committee members to attend the graduation.

Mr. McIntyre applauded Ms. McCormick and the Justice Group for getting to the point where the effectiveness of the programs can be evaluated.

Ms. McCormick stated that this grant would provide enhancement as well as allow more people into Drug Court and Recovery Court as there are people who are turned away.

Chairman Rackauskas asked if the state mandates that counties have a Drug Court. Ms. McCormick responded that it is an unfunded mandate. Judge Drazewski stated that the mandate just took effect January 1, 2010. He added that every county in the circuit has a Drug Court except Woodford County.

Chairman Rackauskas asked if there were any additional questions or comments. Hearing none, she thanked Ms. McCormick.

Mr. Don Everhart, Circuit Clerk, presented a request for approval of an E*Justice Systems (EJS) Case Management Enhancement. He stated that E*Justice Systems is an integrated Case Management System (CMS) utilized by the McLean County Circuit Court, Circuit Clerk, State's Attorney, Public Defender, Sheriff, Jail, and other law enforcement agencies within McLean County.

Mr. Everhart advised that this enhancement request is the first of several that he will be asking for as he continues to improve the Case Management System in the Clerk's Office. He stated that some of the enhancements can be done by Information Technologies and others require software enhancement from EJS.

Mr. Everhart indicated that the work order proposal from EJS for an enhancement to the CMS would significantly improve check-in and check-out functionality for the Traffic Division by adding the ability to enter check in and check-out information for one or more court cases through Mass Case Processing.

Mr. Wasson stated that the E*Justice Systems has gone through approximately four different ownerships. He indicated that McLean County was the pilot for this program and much of the design work was based upon our needs and capabilities. Mr. Wasson noted Mr. Everhart has requested enhancements that must be purchased rather than be considered software maintenance.

Mr. Wasson explained that, under the contract, the vendor would like the County to pay for the changes to the system that they don't provide to any of their other customers. He added that the Circuit Clerk sees a significant benefit to the efficiency and effectiveness of the Circuit Clerk's Office by making these

modifications to the system. Mr. Wasson advised that there haven't been many change orders over the years. He indicated that we continue to work with the current vendor who has been in place for about a year and half to ensure that the County receives maintenance of the system while recognizing that there are times when the County needs enhancements, which result in a cost.

Mr. McIntyre asked how many more enhancements Mr. Everhart expects to ask for. Mr. Everhart replied that the enhancement needs evolve as statutes change and needs are recognized. He expects that there will be three or four more enhancement requests in the future.

Mr. Everhart advised that some of the software enhancement issues can be handled by the IT Department, and other enhancements must be handled by EJS, which will result in a cost.

Ms. Wollrab asked if the enhancement cost is budgeted for. Mr. Everhart responded that the Court Automation Fund, which is an existing fund that is used for technological improvements and support to the system, would pay for the software enhancements. Ms. Wollrab asked if the other enhancement requests are a higher priority than the one he presented today. Mr. Everhart replied that all of the enhancements are important. He added that the IT Department suggested that the vendor might be motivated to reconsider their pricing structure with future enhancement requests.

Mr. Everhart advised that McLean County is the only County that has the EJS Program. He noted that out of the 102 counties in Illinois, 82 counties have the same vendor, and some counties have internal staff that created their own program.

Mr. Rankin asked why McLean County isn't using the same program as the other 82 counties. Mr. Wasson replied that the County made a long-term commitment with E*Justice Systems several years ago and it would be a major expense to change vendors at this time. Additionally, it is an integrated system and does not service only the Circuit Clerk but the Sheriff's Department, State's Attorney, Public Defender, etc. By have an integrated service across all departments, the County has been able to minimize duplication of services and information. Mr. Wasson stated that although the system was designed to be integrated by everyone, not every Department has the flexibility in the system to get maximum benefit, which is why the Circuit Clerk's Office requires certain enhancements to the software.

Mr. Rankin recognized that most software systems require periodic updates and modifications for full functionality of the system.

Mr. Wasson advised that when E*Justice Systems changed hands a little over a year ago, the County evaluated other entities and other resources. At the time, County funds were not sufficient to purchase another fully integrated system. He added that the County will continue to assess whether EJS is the right solution for the County.

There was additional discussion regarding the software enhancement and needs of the Circuit Clerk's Office.

Mr. Everhart advised that the additional enhancement requests will be forthcoming in the future. He noted that he will work closely with IT to determine which items can be addressed in-house and which software enhancements need to be requested of the vendor.

Mr. Wasson stated that he believes the cost of this particular enhancement is reasonable. It is the intention of the Circuit Clerk's Office to negotiate with the vendor to keep the cost of future enhancements at a similar cost.

Motion by Rankin/Schafer to Recommend Approval of
E*Justice (EJS) Case Management Enhancement.
Motion carried.

Mr. Everhart presented a request for approval of the renewal of the Bloomington Municipal Credit Union ATM on 3rd floor of the Law and Justice Center. He stated that since March 2005, operating under an agreement between the County of McLean and Bloomington Municipal Credit Union (BMCU), BMCU has provided and maintained an automated teller machine (ATM) on the 3rd floor of the Law and Justice Center.

Mr. Everhart noted that the current agreement with BMCU self terminates on June 30, 2011. The proposed Agreement renewal would commence on August 1, 2011 and self terminate on July 31, 2013. Mr. Everhart advised that Ms. Hannah Eisner, First Civil State's Attorney, reviewed the proposed Agreement and found it to be consistent with County requirements.

Motion by McIntyre/Rankin to Recommend Approval of
the Renewal of the Bloomington Municipal Credit Union
ATM on 3rd Floor of the Law and Justice Center.
Motion carried.

Justice Committee
June 7, 2011
Page Fourteen

Mr. Everhart stated that there was nothing out of the ordinary in the Monthly Report.

Chairman Rackauskas asked if there were any additional questions or comments. Hearing none, she thanked Mr. Everhart.

Chairman Rackauskas invited Committee members to attend the Jail Review Committee meeting on June 15 at 7:00 p.m. in the Sheriff's Department.

Chairman Rackauskas presented a request for a line-item transfer of \$1,629.95 within the Court Services – Juvenile Detention Facility.

Motion by Rankin/Wollrab to Recommend Approval of
a Line-item Transfer within the Court Service – Juvenile
Detention Facility.
Motion carried.

Chairman Rackauskas presented the May 31, 2011 Justice Committee bills for review and approval as transmitted by the County Auditor. The Justice Committee bills include a Prepaid Total of \$609,541.25 and a Fund Total that is the same.

Justice Committee
June 7, 2011
Page Fifteen

Motion by Wollrab/Schafer to Recommend Approval of the Justice Committee Bills as of May 31, 2011, as transmitted by the County Auditor.
Motion carried.

Chairman Rackauskas asked if there was any other business or communication for the Justice Committee. Hearing none, she called for a motion to adjourn.

Motion by Rankin/McIntyre to Recommend that the Justice Committee meeting be adjourned at 6:25 p.m.
Motion carried.

Respectfully submitted,

Judith A. LaCasse
Recording Secretary